“Seeing” the Classical Heroes   
Grading Rubric

Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Score Range** | **My Score** |
| ***Selection of Images* (15 points)**  I selected images that prominently featured the hero, and this image is easily associated with a myth   associated with this hero.  I selected images that feature the hero in a secondary role OR one in which the hero is shown, but he is   now doing anything that can be associated with one of his/her myths.  I selected images that feature the hero in a secondary role AND one in which the hero is shown, but he is   now doing anything that can be associated with one of his/her myths. | 10-15  5-9  1-4 | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| ***Formulation of Research Questions* (35 points)**  I carefully thought of interesting and doable research questions that looks at the interesting aspects of my   hero and his/her myths. I developed at least 5 viable research questions.  I thought of some research questions. Some of them involve only basic research and investigate only the   myths associated with the hero, and nothing more. OR I developed only 3-4 viable research questions.  I thought of some research questions that involve only basic research. OR I developed 0-2 viable research   questions. | 23-35  11-22  1-10 | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| ***Quality of Research* (25 points)**  I found the best resources on the internet to best explain each one of my research questions. I have   avoided using unprofessional sites.  I found some good resources, but I also included links to websites that were not posted by professionals.   OR I linked to an online encyclopedia (such as Wikipedia).  I almost exclusively used websites published by professionals AND/OR an online encyclopedia. | 17-25  8-16  1-7 | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| ***Quality of the ThingLinks* (25 points)**  My ThingLinks are well-organized and presented. I have organized my links in an organized manner.   There are no errors in spelling, grammar. All the links work.  My ThingLinks are mostly well-organized. Some of my links are pinned to parts of the images that do not   make sense. There are spelling and/or grammar errors and/or some of the links are faulty.  My ThingLinks are disorganized and do not follow good sense. It is clear that I did not put enough time   into organizing my ThingLinks. There are numerous spelling and/or grammar errors and/or faulty   links. | 17-25  8-16  1-7 | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_  \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |

TOTAL (out of 100 points) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_